SUPREME COURT MINUTES THURSDAY, MARCH 8, 2012 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S188982 B217982 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

C.A. v. WILLIAM S. HART UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

Opinion filed: Judgment reversed

The judgment of the Court of Appeal is reversed, and the matter is remanded to that court for further proceedings consistent with our opinion.

Majority Opinion by Werdegar, J.

-- joined by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Chin, Corrigan, and Liu, JJ.

S191400 D055671 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. MANZO (MARTIN)

Opinion filed: Affirmed in part, reversed in part

The judgment of the Court of Appeal is reversed to the extent it reversed the conviction for shooting at an occupied vehicle in violation of section 246, reversed the true findings on the allegations related to that conviction, and remanded for resentencing. In all other respects, the judgment is affirmed.

Majority Opinion by Baxter, J.

-- joined by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Kennard, Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, and Liu, JJ.

S043520

PEOPLE v. POWELL (CARL DEVON)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Paul E. O'Connor's representation that he anticipates filing the respondent's brief by March 23, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 23, 2012. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S047868

PEOPLE v. GEORGE (JOHNATON SAMPSON)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Bruce Eric Cohen's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by April 5, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 5, 2012. After that date, no further extension

will be granted.

S111336

BENAVIDES FIGUEROA (VICENTE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Cristina Bordé's representation that she anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by November 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to May 7, 2012. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 180 additional days are contemplated.

S113653

PEOPLE v. HOYT (RYAN JAMES)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General David F. Glassman's representation that he anticipates filing the respondent's brief by June 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 8, 2012. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S115284

PEOPLE v. TRINH (DUNG DINH ANH)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Gary D. Garcia's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by May 14, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 14, 2012. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S123813

PEOPLE v. FLINNER (MICHAEL WILLIAM)

Extension of time granted

Appellant's request for relief from default is granted.

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Patrick Morgan Ford's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by July 2012 counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 30, 2012. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 90 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S127119

PEOPLE v. GIVENS (TODD)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to May 7, 2012.

S140173

PEOPLE v. FRIEDMAN (KENNETH)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel John F. Schuck's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by May 7, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 7, 2012. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S154541

LANCASTER (ANDREW) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Zee Rodriguez's representation that she anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by April 5, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to April 5, 2012. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S167108

WATSON (PAUL GREGORY) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Geraldine S. Russell's representation that she anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by September 15, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to May 14, 2012. After that date, only eight further extensions totaling about 480 additional days are contemplated.

S191287

STREETER, JR., (HOWARD L.) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Michael Laurence's representation that he anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by December 9, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to May 8, 2012. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 210 additional days are contemplated.

S195150

SWAIN (THEODORE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to informal response is extended to March 27, 2012.

S196910

TURNER (RICHARD DEAN) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Angela M. Borzachillo's representation that she anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by July 1, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to May 7, 2012. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

S198395 E051663 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

PEOPLE v. G3 HOLISTIC, INC.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to March 26, 2012.

S199918

DEHOYOS (RICHARD LUCIO) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Annie Featherman Fraser's representation that she anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by September 8, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to May 7, 2012. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days are contemplated.

S195031 H034535 Sixth Appellate District

NALWA (SMRITI) v. CEDAR FAIR LP

Order filed

The application filed by respondent on March 7, 2012, requesting permission to file a late reply brief on the merits is hereby granted.

B227075 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 EVANS (RHODA) v. A. W. CHESTERTON CO.

The above-entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, is transferred from Division Four to Division Eight.

B228741 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 ANSTEY (PAUL) v. BEEBE (DION)

The above-entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, is transferred from Division Eight to Division Four.